

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/562,403	HALBEISEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NAHIDA SULTANA	4151	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) NAHIDA SULTANA.

(2) Weisz, Edward.

**Date of Interview:** 12 September 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None*

Claims discussed:  
*1-10*

Prior art documents discussed:

*A telephone call was made to Weisz, Edward on September 12/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made because a mailed restriction was requested*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

.

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** restricted

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)